arXiv:1510.08244v1 [math.PR] 28 Oct 2015

On convex hull and winding number of self similar processes

Yu. Davydov[1]

Abstract:

It is well known that for a standard Brownian motion (BM) $\{B(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$, its convex hull $V(t) = \mathrm{conv}\{\ B(s),\ s \leq t\}$ with probability 1 for each $t > 0$ contains 0 as an interior point (see Evans (1985)). We also know that the winding number of a typical path of a 2-dimensional BM is equal to $+\infty$.

The aim of this article is to show that these properties aren't specifically "Brownian", but hold for a much larger class of d-dimensional self similar processes. This class contains in particular d-dimensional fractional Brownian motions and (concerning convex hulls) strictly stable Levy processes.

Key-words: Brownian motion, multi-dimensional fractional Brownian motion, stable Levy processes, convex hull, winding number.

AMS classification: 60G15, 60G18, 60G22

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a basic probability space. Consider a d-dimensional process $X = \{X(t),\ t \geq 0\}$ defined on Ω which is self-similar of index $H > 0$.
It means that for each constant $c > 0$ the process $\{X(ct),\ t \geq 0\}$ has the same distribution as $\{c^H X(t),\ t \geq 0\}$.

Let $L = \{L(u),\ u \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ be the strictly stationary process obtained from X by Lamperti transformation:

$$L(u) = e^{-Hu} X(e^u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^1. \tag{1}$$

Equivalently,

$$X(t) = t^H L(\log t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+_*.$$

Let $\Theta = \{0,1\}^d$ be the set of all dyadic sequences of length d. Denote by D_θ,

[1]University Lille 1, Laboratory P. Painlevé, France

$\theta \in \Theta$, the quadrant

$$D_\theta = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{R}_{\theta_i},$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{\theta_i} = [0, \infty)$ if $\theta_i = 1$, and $\mathbb{R}_{\theta_i} = (-\infty, 0]$ if $\theta_i = 0$.

The positive quadrant $D_{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$ for simplicity is denoted by D.

We say that the process X is *non degenerate* if for all $\theta \in \Theta$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X(1) \in D_\theta\} > 0.$$

Two important examples of self similar processes are **fractional Brownian motion** and **stable Levy process**.

Definition 1 *We call a self-similar (of index $H > 0$) process B^H fractional Brownian motion (FBM) if for each $e \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the scalar process $t \to \langle B^H(t), e\rangle$ is a standard one-dimensional FBM of index H up to a constant $c(e)$.*

It is easy to see that in this case $c^2(e) = \langle Qe, e\rangle$, where Q is the covariance matrix of $B^H(1)$, and hence

$$\mathbb{E}\langle B^H(t), e\rangle\langle B^H(s), e\rangle = \langle Qe, e\rangle \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}), \quad t, s \geq 0;\ e \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The process B^H is non degenerate iff the rank of the matrix Q is equal to d. If $H = \frac{1}{2}, Q = I_d$, then B^H is a standard Brownian motion.

(See A. Xiao (2013), Račkauskas and Ch. Suquet (2011), F. Lavancier et al. (2009) and references therein for more general definitions of operator self-similar FBM).

Definition 2 *We call $S = \{S(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ α-strictly stable Levy process (StS) if*

1) $S(1)$ has a α-strictly stable distribution in $\mathbb{R}^d$;

2) it has independent and stationary increments;

3) it is continuous in probability.

Then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the random variable $S(t)$ has the same distribution as $t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} S(1)$.

The cadlag version of S on $[0,1]$ can be obtained with the help of LePage series representation (see [7] for more details). If $\alpha \in (0,1)$ or if $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and $EX(1) = 0$, then we have:

$$\{S(t), t \in [0,1]\} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{c \sum_{1}^{\infty} \Gamma_k^{-1/\alpha} \varepsilon_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\eta_k), \;\; t \in [0,1]\}, \tag{2}$$

where c is a constant, $\Gamma_k = \sum_1^k \gamma_j$, $\{\gamma_j\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with common standard exponential distribution, $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with common distribution σ concentrated on unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $\{\eta_k\}$ is a sequence of $[0,1]$-uniformly distributed i.i.d. random variables, and the three sequences $\{\gamma_j\}$, $\{\varepsilon_k\}$, $\{\eta_k\}$ are supposed to be independent.

The measure σ is called spectral measure of S. It is easy to see that if (2) takes place, the process X is non degenerate iff $\text{vect}\{\text{supp}\,\sigma\} = \mathbb{R}^1$.

In Section 2 the object of our interest is the convex hull process $V = \{V(t)\}$ associated with X. We show that under very sharp conditions with probability 1 for all $t > 0$ the convex set $V(t)$ contains 0 as its interior point. From this result some interesting corollaries are deduced.

Section 3 is devoted to studying the winding numbers of two-dimensional self similar processes. As a corollary of our main result we show that for the typical path of a standard two-dimensional FBM the number of its clockwise and anti-clockwise winds around 0 in the neighborhood of zero or at infinity is equal to $+\infty$.

2 Convex hulls

For a Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by $\text{conv}(A)$ the closed convex hull of A and define the convex hull process related to X:

$$V(t) = \text{conv}\{\, X(s), \;\; s \le t\}.$$

Theorem 1 *Let X be a non degenerate self similar process such that the strictly stationary process L generating X is ergodic. Then with probability 1 for all $t > 0$ the point 0 is an interior point of $V(t)$.*

Application to FBM. Let B^H be a FBM with index H. The next properties follow from the definition without difficulties.

1) **Continuity.** The process X has a continuous version.

   Below we always suppose B^H to be continuous.

2) **Reversibility.** If the process Y is defined by

$$Y(t) = B^H(1) - B^H(1-t), \quad t \in [0,1],$$

then $\{Y(t),\ t \in [0,1]\} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{B^H(t),\ t \in [0,1]\}$, where $\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=}$ means equality in law.

3) **Ergodicity.** Let $L = \{L(u),\ u \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ be the strictly stationary Gaussian process obtained from B^H by Lamperti transformation (1).

Then L is ergodic (see Cornfeld et al. (1982), Ch. 14, §2, Th.1, Th.2).

It is supposed below that the process B^H is non degenerate.

Corollary 1 *Let V be the convex hull process related to B^H. Then with probability 1 for all $t > 0$ the point 0 is an interior point of $V(t)$.*

This follows immediately from Th.1.

Corollary 2 *Let V be the convex hull process related to B^H. Then for each $t > 0$ with probability 1 the point $B^H(t)$ is an interior point of $V(t)$.*

Proof of Corollary 2. Denote by A° the interior of A. By self-similarity of the process B^H it is sufficient to state this property for $t = 1$. Then, due to the reversibility of B^H by Th. 1., a.s.

$$0 \in [\mathrm{conv}\{\, B^H(1) - B^H(1-t), \quad t \in [0,1]\}]^\circ. \tag{3}$$

As

$$\mathrm{conv}\{\, B^H(1) - B^H(1-t),\ \ t \in [0,1]\} = B^H(1) - \mathrm{conv}\{\, B^H(1-s), \quad s \in [0,1]\},$$

the relation (3) is equivalent to

$$B^H(1) \in [\mathrm{conv}\{\, B^H(s),\ \ s \in [0,1]\}]^\circ,$$

which concludes the proof. ■

Let $\mathcal{K}_d$ be the family of all compact convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$. It is well known that $\mathcal{K}_d$ equipped with Hausdorff metric is a Polish space.

We say that a function $f : [0,1] \to \mathcal{K}_d$ is *increasing*, if $f(t) \subset f(s)$ for $0 \le t < s \le 1$.

We say that a function $f : [0,1] \to \mathcal{K}_d$ is *almost everywhere constant*, if f is such that for almost every $t \in [0,1]$ there exists an interval $(t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)$ where f is constant.

We say that a function $f : [0,1] \to \mathcal{K}_d$ is a *Cantor - staircase* (C-S), if f is continuous, increasing and almost everywhere constant.

The next statement follows easily from Corollary 2.

Corollary 3 *Let V be the convex hull process related to B^H. Then with probability 1 the paths of the process $t \to V(t)$ are C-S functions.*

Remark 1 *Let $h : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{R}^1$ be an increasing continuous function. Then almost all paths of the process $t \to h(V(t))$ are C-S real functions. This obvious fact may be applied to all reasonable geometrical characteristics of $V(t)$, such as volume, surface area, diameter,...*

Application to StS. Let now S be a StS process with exponent $\alpha < 2$. The following properties are more or less known.

1) **Right continuity.** The process S has a *cadlag* version (see remark above just after the definition).

2) **Reversibility.** Let

$$Y(t) = S(1) - S(1-t), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Then $\{Y(t),\ t \in [0,1]\} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{S(t),\ t \in [0,1]\}$.

3) **Self-similarity.** The process S is self-similar of index $H = \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

4) **Ergodicity.** Let $L = \{L(u),\ u \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ be the strictly stationary process obtained from S by Lamperti transformation (1). Then L is ergodic.

We suppose that the law of $S(1)$ is non degenerate.

Corollary 4 *Let V be the convex hull process related to S. Then with probability 1 for all $t > 0$ the point 0 is an interior point of $V(t)$.*

Corollary 5 *Let V be the convex hull process related to S. Then for each $t > 0$ with probability 1 the point $X(t)$ is an interior point of $V(t)$.*

Corollary 6 *Let V be the convex hull process related to S. Then with probability* 1 *the paths of the process $t \to V(t)$ are right continuous almost everywhere constant functions.*

We omit proofs of these statements as they are similar to proofs of Corollaries 1 - 3.

Proof of Theorem 1. We first show that

$$p \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbb{P}\{\exists\, t \in (0,1] \mid X(t) \in D^\circ\} = 1. \tag{4}$$

Remark that p is strictly positive:

$$p \geq \mathbb{P}\{X(1) \in D^\circ\} > 0 \tag{5}$$

due to the hypothesis that the law of $X(1)$ is non degenerate.

By self similarity

$$\mathbb{P}\{D^\circ \cap \{X(t), t \in [0,T]\} = \emptyset\} = 1 - p$$

for every $T > 0$. The sequence of events $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$,

$$A_n = \{D^\circ \cap \{X(t), t \in [0,n]\} = \emptyset\},$$

being decreasing, it follows that

$$1 - p = \lim \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \mathbb{P}(\cap_n A_n) = \mathbb{P}\{X(t) \notin D^\circ,\ \ \forall t \geq 0\}.$$

In terms of the stationary process L from Lamperti representation it means that

$$\mathbb{P}\{L(s) \notin D^\circ,\ \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^1\} = 1 - p.$$

As this event is invariant, by ergodicity of L and due to (5) we see that the value $p = 1$ is the only one possible.

Applying the similar arguments to another quadrants $D_\theta, \theta \in \Theta$, we get that with probability 1 there exists points $t_\theta \in (0,1]$, such that
$X(t_\theta) \in D_\theta^\circ, \quad \theta \in \Theta$. Now, to end the proof it is sufficient to remark that

$$V(1)^\circ = \mathrm{conv}\{X(t), t \in [0,1]\}^\circ \supset \mathrm{conv}\{X(t_\theta), \theta \in \Theta\}^\circ$$

and that the last set evidently contains 0. ■

3 Winding numbers

Nown we consider a 2-dimensional self similar process $X = \{X(t),\ t \geq 0\}$. It is supposed that the following properties are fulfilled:

1) Process X is continuous.

2) Process X is non degenerate.

3) Process X is symmetric: X and $-X$ have the same law.

4) The stationary process L associated with X is ergodic.

5) Starting from 0 the process X with probability 1 never come back:

$$\mathbb{P}\{X(t) \neq 0,\ \ \forall\, t > 0\} = 1. \tag{6}$$

Due to the last hypothesis, considering $\mathbb{R}^2$ as complex plane, we can define the winding numbers (around 0) $\nu[s,t]$, $0 < s < t$, by the usual way (see [5], Ch.5):

$$\nu[s,t] = \arg\left(X(t)\right) - \arg\left(X(s)\right).$$

We set

$$\nu_+(0,t] = \limsup_{s\downarrow 0} \nu[s,t], \quad \nu_-(0,t] = \liminf_{s\downarrow 0} \nu[s,t],$$

$$\nu_+[s,\infty) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \nu[s,t], \quad \nu_-[s,\infty) = \liminf_{t\to\infty} \nu[s,t].$$

The values $\nu_+(0,t],\ \nu_-(0,t]$ represent respectively the number of clockwise and anti-clockwise winds around 0 in the neighborhood of the starting point, while $\nu_+[s,\infty),\ \nu_-[s,\infty)$ are the similar winding numbers at infinity.

Theorem 2 *Let X be a 2-dimensional self similar process with the properties 1) – 5) mentioned above. Then with probability one for all $t > 0$*

$$\nu_+(0,t] = \nu_+[t,\infty) = -\nu_-(0,t] = -\nu_-[t,\infty) = +\infty. \tag{7}$$

Corollary 7 *Let B^H be a 2-dimensional standard FBM and assume that $H \in [1/2,\, 1)$. Then with probability one for all $t > 0$ the equalities (7) take place.*

Proof. Case $H = 1/2$ is well known, see [5], Ch. 5, which contains exhaustive information on Brownian winding numbers.

If $H \in (1/2,\, 1)$, we apply Theorem 2 as all hypothesis 1)–5) are fulfilled: indeed, the properties 1)–3) are obvious; the ergodicity of L, $L(t) = (L_1(t), L_2(t))$, follows from the fact that $EL_1(t)L_1(0) \to 0$ when $t \to \infty$ (see, [1], Ch. 14, Sec. 2, Th.2); The property 5) can be deduced from Th. 11 of [8] (see also Th. 4.2 of [9] and Th. 2.6 of [10]). ■

Remark 2 *If $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the process $t \to \arg B^H(t) - \arg B^H(0)$ is not continuous with positive probability as the set $\{t \in (0,1] \mid B^H(t) = 0\}$ is not empty (see [8], Th. 11). It means that in this case the winding numbers could be defined only for the excursions of B^H, and we need for its study more sophisticated methods.*

Proof of Theorem 2. By 5) we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{L(t) \neq 0, \ \ \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R}^1\} = 1.$$

Hence as above we can define for L the winding numbers $\nu^L_{\underline{+}}(-\infty, t]$, $\nu^L_{\underline{+}}[t, \infty)$, and besides we have

$$\nu^L_{\underline{+}}(-\infty, t] = \nu_{\underline{+}}(0, e^t], \quad \nu^L_{\underline{+}}[t, \infty) = \nu_{\underline{+}}[e^t, \infty).$$

Therefore from now on we can work with the process L and will omit the index L in the notation of winding numbers.

Let us show that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\nu_{\underline{+}}[t, \infty)| = \infty, \ \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1\} = 1. \tag{8}$$

By symmetry (property 3)) it is sufficient to state that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\nu_+[t, \infty) = \infty, \ \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1\} = 1. \tag{9}$$

Using the arguments from the proof of Th. 1 we remark that the process L visits infinitely often each of four basic quadrants. It follows by continuity that at least one of two events A, B,

$$A = \{\exists t > 0, \ \text{such that} \quad \arg X(t) - \arg X(0) > \frac{\pi}{2}\},$$

$$B = \{\exists t > 0, \ \text{such that} \quad \arg X(t) - \arg X(0) < \frac{\pi}{2}\},$$

has probability 1. By symmetry (property 3)) $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(B)$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists t > 0, \ \text{such that} \quad \arg X(t) - \arg X(0) > \frac{\pi}{2}\} = 1.$$

From this follows by stationarity that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists t > s, \ \text{such that} \quad \arg X(t) - \arg X(s) > \frac{\pi}{2}\} = 1.$$

The set

$$E = \{(s,\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^1 \times \Omega \mid \exists t > s, \text{ such that } \arg X(t) - \arg X(s) > \frac{\pi}{2}\}$$

is measurable as the process $s \to \sup_{t>s}(\arg X(t) - \arg X(s))$ is continuous.

Based on the aforementioned and due to Fubini theorem, the set E is such that $\lambda \times \mathbb{P}(E^{\complement}) = 0$, λ being the Lebesgue measure. Therefore there exists $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, $\mathbb{P}(\Omega') = 1$ such that for each $\omega \in \Omega'$, for almost all $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$, there exists $t > s$ for which $\arg X(t) - \arg X(s) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. Take $\omega \in \Omega'$. Let us denote E_ω the corresponding ω-section of E. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that for each $\omega \in \Omega'$, the point 0 belongs to E_ω. As $\lambda \times \mathbb{P}(E_\omega^{\complement}) = 0$, E_ω is dense in $\mathbb{R}^1$. Let $u > 0$ be such that $\arg X(u) - \arg X(0) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. By continuity, $\arg X(t) - \arg X(0) > \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of u and therefore, there exists $t_1 \in E_\omega$ for which $\arg X(t_1) - \arg X(0) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. Repeating this reasoning, we can build an increasing sequence (t_n) such that $t_1 = 0$ and $t_n \in E_\omega$. Since for each n, $\arg X(t_n) - \arg X(t_{n-1}) > \frac{\pi}{2}$, we get $\sup_{t>0}(\arg X(t) - \arg X(0)) = +\infty$.

Thus, it is proved that for each t

$$\mathbb{P}\{\nu_+[t,\infty) = +\infty\} = 1. \tag{10}$$

Now to show that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\nu_+[t,\infty) = +\infty, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1\} = 1$$

it is sufficient to remark that for each ω from Ω' the ω-section $E_\omega = \mathbb{R}^1$. Indeed, supposing that there exists $u \in E_\omega^{\circ}$ we should have

$$\arg X(s) - \arg X(u) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for each $s > t$, but that is in contradiction with the existence of $t \in E_\omega$, $t > u$, for which (10) holds. Thus (9) is proved. Applying the previous reasonings to the process $\{L(-t),\ t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$, we prove the remaining equalities of (7). ■

References

[1] I. P. Cornfeld, S. V. Fomin and Ya. G. Sinai, Ergodic Theory, Springer-Verlag Berlin and Heidelberg, 1982.

[2] Davydov Yu., On convex hull of d-dimensional fractional Brownian motion.
Statistics and Probability Letters, 2012, 82, No. 1, pp. 37-39.

[3] S. N. Evans, On the Hausdorff dimension of Brownian cone points.
Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 1985, 98, 343-353.

[4] F. Lavancier, A. Philippe and D. Surgailis, Covariance function of vector self-similar process,
arXiv:0906.4541v2 [math.PR] 25 Aug 2009.

[5] R. Mansuy and M. Yor, Aspects of Brownian Motion.
Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008

[6] A. Račkauskas and Ch. Suquet, Operator Fractional Brownian Motion as Limit of Polygonal Lines Processes in Hilbert Space,
Stochastics 1 and Dynamics, 2011, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 1–22.

[7] G. Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taqqu, Stable non-Gaussian Random Processes.
Chapman and Hall, New York, 1994.

[8] Y. Xiao, Recent developments on fractal properties of Gaussian random fields.
In: Further Developments in Fractals and Related Fields. (Julien Barral and Stephane Seuret, editors) pp. 255–288, Springer, New York, 2013.

[9] Y. Xiao, Hölder conditions for the local times and the Hausdorf measure of the level sets of Gaussian random fields.
Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 1997, Vol 109, pp. 129–157.

[10] R. C. Dalang, C. Mueller and Y. Xiao, Polarity of points for Gaussian random fields.
arXiv:1505.05417v1 [math.PR] (2015).